



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/752,700	12/29/2000	Anthony Edward Stuart	PU000189	9409	
7590 01/24/2006			EXAMINER		
Joseph S. Tripe	oli	MA, JOHNNY			
THOMSON mu	ltimedia Licensing Inc.				
Patent Operation	18	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Two Independer	nce Way, P.O. Box 5312	2617	•		
Princeton, NJ 08543-5312			DATE MAILED: 01/24/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	ation No. Applicant(s)					
		09/752,70	00	STUART, ANTHO	STUART, ANTHONY EDWARD			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Johnny M	a	2617				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati or Reply	ion appears on the	cover sheet with t	the correspondence ad	idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL in sign of the may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no evo- ation. y period will apply and wi by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this concept (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>31 October 200</u>	<u>5</u> .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)	n is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-16 and 18-21</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-16 and 18-21</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
· ·	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
`		, 4 110, 6, 1110 001.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
			•					
Attachmen								
2) Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-5 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date			nmary (PTO-413) //ail Date rmal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			
	. ,		· — ——		**			

Application/Control Number: 09/752,700

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see pages 6-9, filed 10/31/2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-6, 8-16, and 18-21 under 35 USC § 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Rector, JR. et al. (US 2004/0168186 A1) and Ludtke (US 6,867,764 B2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-5, 8-11, 12-15, and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rauch et al. (US 5,731,844) in further view of Rector, JR. et al. (US 2004/0168186 A1) and Ludtke (US 6,867,764 B2).

As to claim 1, note the Rauch et al. reference that discloses a scroll bar 224 for rapidly advancing a program guide as illustrated in Figure 2.

The claimed "producing a signal suitable for display on a display device a time line" is met by "[a] screen display is shown in FIG. 2 which is displayed by thee television 130 under control of the selection program 152 when the user requests a schedule...[t]he screen display includes a schedule layout 200" (Rauch 5:47-49), "[t]he schedule layout 200 includes a time scroll bar 224

which the user scrolls to select time entries 215 that are different than the time entries currently displayed on the time axis 214 of the grid 210" (Rauch 7:1-4).

The claimed "moving the marker using navigation buttons on a remote control device" is met by "input device 120 is a mouse, a remote control pointing device, or the like" (Rauch 4:43-45) and "the contents of the grid [(time/channel)] may be manipulated by directional buttons provided on the input device 120" (Rauch 7:21-23) wherein the time scroll bar includes a marker as illustrated in Figure 2.

Note, the Rauch et al. reference discloses the availability of multiple days of program information for display to users wherein "[t]he schedule layout 200 also includes a day selector 220 with which the user selects the day for which the television programs are to be displayed by the grid 210 (Rauch 6:58-60). The Rauch et al. reference discloses that "[t]he day selector 220 contains arrow button with which the user moves the selected ay chronologically forward or backward" (Rauch 6:60-64) and "a time scroll bar 224 which the user scrolls to select time entries 215 that are different than the time entries currently displayed on the time axis 214 of the grid 210. Thus the Rauch et al. reference discloses a day selector 220 for conveniently viewing the program schedule for the same time on different days. However, the Rauch et al. reference does not specifically disclose the time period the time scroll bar 224 encompasses, i.e. the system response to transitions between different days.

Now note the Rector, JR. et al. reference that also discloses a schedule layout with a time scroll bar as illustrated in Figure 3. The schedule layout includes "[s]croll buttons 80 and 82 and positioning button 84 may be used to move among the various time slots in grid 60" (Rector [0042]) wherein the time slots are available for a period of, for example, one week (Rector

[0052]). Thus the Rector, JR. et al. reference at least discloses a scroll bar for scrolling through time slots within a one week time period, meeting the claimed "times and days in the future from a current day and time to which a marker can be moved." Therefore, the examiner submits that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Rauch et al. time scroll bar for scrolling through available time entries with the Rector, JR. et al. scroll bar for scrolling through program information for multiple days and times for the purpose of allowing the system users to navigate the program in an intuitive matter, chronologically, in order to minimize the need for users to learn a new control interface thus generate greater revenue by increasing the number of users of the device.

Note the Rauch et al. and Rector, JR. et al. combination discloses a time line representing discrete predefined time slots thereon delineating times and days in the future from a current day and time to which a marker can be moved as discussed above.

However, the Rauch et al. and Rector, JR. et al. combination is silent as to a time line having notches. Now note the Ludtke reference that discloses "[r]egardless of the mode, it is preferable for the slider to provide some indexing marks or other graphical information to indicate to the user what type of data will be entered as the slider is manipulated" (Ludtke 4:47-50) wherein the indexing marks should be representative of value type used, such as numbers for currency values (Ludtke 4:51-58). Thus the Ludtke reference clearly teach the use of indexing marks (notches) on a slider. Therefore, the examiner submits that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Rauch et al. and Rector, JR. et al. combination with the Ludtke indexing marks "to indicate to the user what type of data will be entered as the slider is manipulated" (Ludtke 4:48-50).

Note the claimed "having notches representing discrete predefined time slots thereon delineating times and days in the future from a current day and time to which a marker can be moved" is met by the Rauch et al., Rector, JR. et al., and Ludtke combination as discussed above wherein the appropriate indexing marks would comprise time and days.

The claimed "to a notch delineating a desired day and time in the future, thereby causing to be displayed in a time window displayed on the display device a time period displaying indicia for programs to be broadcast during the time period on said desired day and time" is met by the Rauch et al., Rector, JR. et al., and Ludtke combination wherein "[t]he schedule layout 200 includes a time scroll bar 224 which the user scrolls to select time entries 215 that are different than the time entries currently displayed on the time axis 214 of the grid 210" (Rauch 7:1-4) wherein the time scroll bar includes information for a plurality days and notches representing the times and days to indicate the time/day that will be entered as the slider is manipulated.

As to claim 2, the claimed "wherein the notches delineate times that are hours, days, weeks or months in the future form the current day and time," please see rejection of claim 1.

As to claim 3, the claimed "further comprising the step of moving the time window to view desired program indicia" is met by "by manipulating the time scroll bar 224 and the channel scroll bar 226, the user varies the content of the displayed portion of the grid 210 shown in the schedule layout 200" (Rauch 7:18-21).

As to claim 4, the claimed "further comprising the step of moving the time window in onhalf hour increments" is met by "time scroll bar 224 which the user scrolls to select time entries 215 that are different than the time entries currently displayed on the time axis 214 of the grid 210" (Rauch 7:1-4) wherein the timeslots are divided into half-hour increments (Rauch 7:8-9), also see Figure 2.

As to claim 5, the claimed "wherein the marker can be selectively moved forward and backward in time" is met by the time scroll bar comprising left arrow (backwards in time) and right arrow (forwards in time), as illustrated in Figure 2 (Rauch), for moving forward and backward in time.

As to claim 8, note the Rauch et al. reference that discloses a scroll bar 224 for rapidly advancing a program guide as illustrated in Figure 2.

The claimed "producing a signal suitable for display on a display device a time line" is met by "[a] screen display is shown in FIG. 2 which is displayed by thee television 130 under control of the selection program 152 when the user requests a schedule...[t]he screen display includes a schedule layout 200" (Rauch 5:47-49), "[t]he schedule layout 200 includes a time scroll bar 224 which the user scrolls to select time entries 215 that are different than the time entries currently displayed on the time axis 214 of the grid 210" (Rauch 7:1-4).

The claimed "moving the marker using navigation buttons on a remote control device" is met by "input device 120 is a mouse, a remote control pointing device, or the like" (Rauch 4:43-45) and "the contents of the grid [(time/channel)] may be manipulated by directional buttons provided on the input device 120" (Rauch 7:21-23) wherein the time scroll bar includes a marker as illustrated in Figure 2.

Note, the Rauch et al. reference discloses the availability of multiple days of program information for display to users wherein "[t]he schedule layout 200 also includes a day selector 220 with which the user selects the day for which the television programs are to be displayed by

the grid 210 (Rauch 6:58-60). The Rauch et al. reference discloses that "[t]he day selector 220 contains arrow button with which the user moves the selected ay chronologically forward or backward" (Rauch 6:60-64) and "a time scroll bar 224 which the user scrolls to select time entries 215 that are different than the time entries currently displayed on the time axis 214 of the grid 210. Thus the Rauch et al. reference discloses a day selector 220 for conveniently viewing the program schedule for the same time on different days. However, the Rauch et al. reference does not specifically disclose the time period the time scroll bar 224 encompasses, i.e. the system response to transitions between different days.

Now note the Rector, JR. et al. reference that also discloses a schedule layout with a time scroll bar as illustrated in Figure 3. The schedule layout includes "[s]croll buttons 80 and 82 and positioning button 84 may be used to move among the various time slots in grid 60" (Rector [0042]) wherein the time slots are available for a period of, for example, one week (Rector [0052]). Thus the Rector, JR. et al. reference at least discloses a scroll bar for scrolling through time slots within a one week time period, meeting the claimed "times and days in the future from a current day and time to which a marker can be moved." Therefore, the examiner submits that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Rauch et al. time scroll bar for scrolling through available time entries with the Rector, JR. et al. scroll bar for scrolling through program information for multiple days and times for the purpose of allowing the system users to navigate the program in an intuitive matter, chronologically, in order to minimize the need for users to learn a new control interface thus generate greater revenue by increasing the number of users of the device.

Note the Rauch et al. and Rector, JR. et al. combination discloses a time line representing discrete predefined time slots thereon delineating times and days in the future from a current day and time to which a marker can be moved as discussed above.

However, the Rauch et al. and Rector, JR. et al. combination is silent as to a time line having notches. Now note the Ludtke reference that discloses "[r]egardless of the mode, it is preferable for the slider to provide some indexing marks or other graphical information to indicate to the user what type of data will be entered as the slider is manipulated" (Ludtke 4:47-50) wherein the indexing marks should be representative of value type used, such as numbers for currency values (Ludtke 4:51-58). Thus the Ludtke reference clearly teach the use of indexing marks (notches) on a slider. Therefore, the examiner submits that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Rauch et al. and Rector, JR. et al. combination with the Ludtke indexing marks "to indicate to the user what type of data will be entered as the slider is manipulated" (Ludtke 4:48-50).

Note the claimed "having notches representing discrete predefined time slots thereon delineating times and days in the future from a current day and time to which a marker can be moved" is met by the Rauch et al., Rector, JR. et al., and Ludtke combination as discussed above wherein the appropriate indexing marks would comprise time and days.

The claimed "displaying on the display device a time window defining a first time period on the current day, wherein the time window displays indicia for programs broadcast during the first time period of the current day" is met by the schedule layout 200 for displaying program schedule information for a plurality of days including the current day (Rauch 5:47-67).

The claimed "moving the marker using navigation buttons on a remote control device to a notch delineating a desired day and time in the future, thereby causing to be displayed in the time window a second time period displaying indicia for programs to be broadcast during the second time period on said desired day and time" is met by the Rauch et al., Rector, JR. et al., and Ludtke combination wherein "[t]he schedule layout 200 includes a time scroll bar 224 which the user scrolls to select time entries 215 that are different than the time entries currently displayed on the time axis 214 of the grid 210" (Rauch 7:1-4) wherein the time scroll bar includes information for a plurality days and notches representing the times and days to indicate the time/day that will be entered as the slider is manipulated.

As to claim 9, the claimed "wherein the second time period is for a period of time on a different day than the first time period" is met by Rauch et al., Rector, JR. et al., and Ludtke combination as discussed above wherein the user may use the time scroll bar to access program information for a different day.

As to claim 10, the claimed "wherein the second time period overlaps the first time period" is met by the Rauch et al., Rector, JR. et al., and Ludtke combination, as discussed in the rejection of claim 8, teaching a time line comprising days and time to move in the various time slots in the grid wherein the time slots are divided into 30 minute increments as illustrated in Figure 2 (Rauch). Note that by incrementing the time frame by 30 minutes, the new time frame overlaps the previous time frame.

As to claim 11, the claimed "wherein the first and second time periods are successive time periods" is met by that discussed in the rejection of claim 8 wherein a user may access any time frame of program guide information which inherently includes successive time periods.

As to claims 12-15, please see rejections of claims 1-2 and 4-5 respectively.

As to claims 18-21, please see rejections of claims 8-11 respectively.

4. Claims 6 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rauch et al. (US 5,731,844) in further view of Rector, JR. et al. (US 2004/0168186 A1), (US 6,867,764 B2), and Schlarb et al. (US 6,664,984 B2).

As to claim 6, the claimed "wherein the marker can be selectively moved backwards in time to display indicia for programs that were already broadcast." Note the Rauch et al. reference teaches selectively moving the marker backwards to display programming. However, the Finseth et al. and Rector, JR. et al. combination does not specifically disclose "wherein the marker can be selectively moved backwards in time to display indicia for programs that were already broadcast." Now note the Schlarb et al. reference that discloses a method and system for identification of pay-per-view programming. The claimed "wherein the marker can be selectively moved backwards in time to display indicia for programs that were already broadcast" is met by "[t]he subscriber, depending on the television system, can scroll up or down through the hundreds of channels and forwards or backwards through several days or weeks of program information" (Schlarb 1:59-65). Therefore, the examiner submits that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Rauch et al. backwards navigation with the Schlarb et al. navigating backwards through several days or weeks of program information for the purpose of providing a user the option to verify whether he/she had missed any programming and/or to determine missed programming that he/she may want to locate a repeat showing at a later time.

As to claim 16, please see rejection of claim 6.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Johnny Ma whose telephone number is (571) 272-7351. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jm

CHRIS KELLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600